Red Oak Independent School District Red Oak High School 2024-2025 Campus Improvement Plan



Mission Statement

The Mission of Red Oak ISD:

4 Talons of the Hawk

Exhibits Academic Readiness: 1% Better Daily & Love Tough

Seeks Opportunities and Challenges of Learning: Growth, Resilience, Integrity, Tenacity (G.R.I.T.)

Demonstrates Fair, Respectful, and Well-Rounded Characteristics: Respect, Encourage, Appreciate, Communicate, Honor (R.E.A.C.H.)

Leaves a Legacy Through Service: "We Before Me"

Vision

The Vision of Red Oak ISD:

"Realizing Our Individual Students' Dreams"

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	. 4
Demographics	. 4
Student Learning	. 6
School Processes & Programs	. 8
Perceptions	. 11
Priority Problem Statements	. 12
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	. 14
Goals	. 16
Goal 1: Academics: Develop critical thinkers that will transcend college and career goals by excelling in the 4 Talons.	. 16
Goal 2: Human Resources: Intentional progress to hire and retain high-quality staff to ensure best learning.	. 30
Goal 3: Finance: Maintain fiscal stewardship and accountability to ensure financial stability and transparency.	. 34
Goal 4: Growth, Facilities, Security: Prioritize and allocate resources to ensure safety and security and appropriate facilities.	. 37
Goal 5: Communications: Ensure District is connected to the community in partnerships to further student success while communicating transparently and effectively.	. 40

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Red Oak High School had a student population of 2,163 in grades 9-12 during the 2023-2024 academic school year. Demographic percentages have shown an increase in certain groups. For the 2023-2024 school year they were Hispanic - 37.15%, American Indian - 0.34%, Asian - 0.99%, African American 39.4%, Native Hawaiian - 0.04%, White - 17.84% and Two or More - 4.6%. Male students accounted for 52.41% of the student population, while female students accounted for 47.59%. In addition, 88.35% of students participate in our Career and Technical Education program.

Student Profile: Attendance

2018 - 2019 - 95.87%

2019 - 2020 - 95.81%

2020 - 2021 - 96.50% COVID Year

2021 - 2022 - 91.48%

2022 - 2023 - 94.04%

2023 - 2024 - 93.70%

Student Profile: Special groups

Group	Percentage
Economically Disadvantaged	60.32%
ELS/EBs	11.82%
Special Education	12.15%
Homeless	1.33%
GT	5.20%
At Risk	

Group	Percentage
Dyslexia	7.78%

Demographics Strengths

- 88.35% student participation in Career and Technical Education programs.
- Majority of our ELAR teachers are ESL certified.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): College, Career, and Military Readiness is 11% below the state average. (2021-2022) **Root Cause:** No direct monitoring of CCMR Systems need to be established for monitoring and tracking student CCMR data.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Industry Based Certifications earned is 10% below the state average. (2021-2022) **Root Cause:** No direct monitoring of students completing courses aligned to IBCs. Students need to complete programs of study to become completers.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Attendance rates are still below pre-covid averages. Root Cause: Monitoring of student attendance on all levels.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

STAAR EOC DATA:

	Did Not Meet %		Арі	Approaches %			Meets %			Masters %			
English I	37	32	33	63	67	67	46	55	54	12	20	17	
English II	30	25	26	71	76	75	52	62	60	6	11	9	
Algebra I	28	20	21	72	80	79	38	47	45	16	28	25	
US History	4	4	5	96	96	95	62	71	69	30	40	37	
Biology	11	9	9	89	91	91	49	59	58	14	21	19	

First Column - Campus Second Column - Region Third Column - State

ROHS Advance Placement Data:

[•] Red Oak HS is below the state and region in all areas of the STAAR EOC except US History - Approaches

5-Year AP Report

	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Total AP Students	211	148	158	164	192
Number of Exams	360	236	266	284	341
AP Students with Scores 3+	112	62	90	86	99
% of Total AP Students with Scores 3+	53.08	41.89	56.96	52.44	51.56

Student Learning Strengths

- US History scored higher than state average in approaches.
- Continued integration for many high school students into grade-level courses through inclusion.
- Continue providing credit recovery and grade repair to prevent dropouts.
- ROHS offers 28 programs of study through our Career and Technical Education programs.
- Students earned 325 Industry Based Certifications for the 2023-2024 school year.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): CCMR needs to increase to 88%. **Root Cause:** No direct focus on CCMR Systems need to be established for tracking and monitoring all students.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Students had a low achievement rate for meets and masters level on all subjects. **Root Cause:** Focus of academic success has been on passing the assessments, not advanced scores.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Curriculum and Instruction process centers around Professional Learning Communities, walkthrough observations by administrators, and the Fundamental 5 instructional strategies.

New teachers are provided mentors to assist in the transition to teaching on the high school campus.

Recruitment of high quality teachers at the high school level is challenging, particularly in specialty subjects and those designated as teacher shortage areas.

Instruction is targeted to meet TEKS and increase student achievement based on data analysis.

Informal and formal classroom assessment, released EOC tests, and CBAs serve as teacher administered benchmark assessments to predict student success on state assessments.

All students are provided their own personal Chromebook for school and home to support instruction.

Discipline Data:

Discipline Action			2021 -	2022			2022 - 2023 2023 - 2024											
Codes	RP 1	RP 2	RP 3	RP 4	RP 5	RP 6	RP 1	RP 2	RP 3	RP 4	RP 5	RP 6	RP 1	RP 2	RP 3	RP 4	RP 5	RP 6
JJAEP						1					1							
oss	103	117	120	91	145	198	83	121	105	122	159	135	94	133	109	93	207	81
ISS	186	297	214	315	489	356	197	344	435	330	496	435	374	470	287	301	576	135
DAEP	34	52	52	45	40	49	35	30	41	59	58	55	26	52	56	37	32	19
Partial Day OSS	24	31	32	8	18	28	13	19	14	16	34	39	15	23	9	14	15	20
Partial Day ISS	172	226	164	236	384	225	221	293	183	169	239	243	294	377	288	281	277	119

Offense	Count
Dress Code Violation	1558
Tardy	828
Insubordinate Behavior	608

Skipping	427
Phone	357
Class Disruptions	336
Out of Assigned Area	325
Leaving Class without Permission	260
Other	216
Profanity	150
Total	5065

Reason Code	Offense	Count			
02	Conduct Punishable as a Felony	1			
04	Possessed, Sold, Used, or Was Under the Influence of Marihuana or Other Controlled Substance	33			
04	(valid until September 1, 2023)				
05	Possessed, Sold, Used, or Was Under Influence of Alcoholic Beverage	1			
21	Violation of Student Code of Conduct	1414			
23	Emergency Placement/Expulsion	4			
27	Assault against employee/volunteer	5			
28	Assault against someone other than school employee/volunteer	35			
30	Aggravated Assault against someone other than employee/volunteer	4			
35	False Alarm/False Report	2			
41	Fighting/Mutual Combat	75			
46	Aggravated Robbery	4			
60	Harassment Against an Employee of the School District	2			
62	Possessed, Sold, Gave, Used, Delivered, or Was Under Influence of Marihuana or	98			
62	Tetrahydrocannabinol				
63	Possessed, Sold, Gave, Delivered, or Used E-Cigarette	76			
64	Possessed, Sold, Gave, Used, Delivered, or Was Under Influence of Other Controlled Substance	14			
	Total	2083			

School Processes & Programs Strengths

- Quality faculty and staff42% of teachers at ROHS have 11 or more year of experience.

- Mentor teachers support new teachers to facilitate transition and increase retention
- Data analysis drives instructional decision-making through PLCs
- Common Planning for all STAAR tested subjects
- Access to technology
- Chromebooks provided to all students
- Campus technology technician
- Instructional coaches for all core content areas

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Students at ROHS do not uniformly adhere to district and campus expectations for behavior as evidenced by disciplinary referral rates. **Root Cause:** ROHS staff is not consistently enforcing and monitoring expectations of student behaviors.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): ROHS has difficulty recruiting and maintaining highly qualified staff from year-to-year. **Root Cause:** There is a lack of highly qualified applicants applying for positions posted at ROHS.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

From surveys conducted by the campus, stakeholders describe the campus as a safe place where everyone is treated equally and with respect. Overall, stakeholders strongly agree or agree with what is occurring on the high school campus. The majority of stakeholders feel that we are providing a quality education to our students at Red Oak High School and preparing for life beyond this campus. The high school staff constantly seeks ways to provide all students with a safe, secure, nurturing, and positive learning environment. Faculty members build meaningful relationships with students to enhance their learning experience. The high school campus has a staff that works together to ensure the success of our students.

Perceptions Strengths

Most parent's felt the vision of Red Oak ISD is "Realizing Our Individual Students' Dreams." Is the mission clear and understandable.

Most stakeholders thought Red Oak ISD provides a safe and secure learning environment for all students and staff.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Common parent concerns revolved around lack of communication regarding events, important information, and campus and district initiatives. **Root Cause:** Utilization of platforms is not consistent. No designated staff to manage communication.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: CCMR needs to increase to 88%.

Root Cause 1: No direct focus on CCMR Systems need to be established for tracking and monitoring all students.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: College, Career, and Military Readiness is 11% below the state average. (2021-2022)

Root Cause 2: No direct monitoring of CCMR Systems need to be established for monitoring and tracking student CCMR data.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 3: Industry Based Certifications earned is 10% below the state average. (2021-2022)

Root Cause 3: No direct monitoring of students completing courses aligned to IBCs. Students need to complete programs of study to become completers.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 4: Attendance rates are still below pre-covid averages.

Root Cause 4: Monitoring of student attendance on all levels.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 5: Students had a low achievement rate for meets and masters level on all subjects.

Root Cause 5: Focus of academic success has been on passing the assessments, not advanced scores.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 6: Students at ROHS do not uniformly adhere to district and campus expectations for behavior as evidenced by disciplinary referral rates.

Root Cause 6: ROHS staff is not consistently enforcing and monitoring expectations of student behaviors.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 7: ROHS has difficulty recruiting and maintaining highly qualified staff from year-to-year.

Root Cause 7: There is a lack of highly qualified applicants applying for positions posted at ROHS.

Problem Statement 7 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 8: Common parent concerns revolved around lack of communication regarding events, important information, and campus and district initiatives.

Root Cause 8: Utilization of platforms is not consistent. No designated staff to manage communication.

Problem Statement 8 Areas: Perceptions

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 CCMR goals

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Effective Schools Framework data

Student Data: Assessments

- · State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs of Study data including completer, concentrator, explorer, participant, and non-participant information
- SAT and/or ACT assessment data

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs of Study data including completer, concentrator, explorer, participant, and non-participant achievements by race, ethnicity, gender, etc.
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- · Gifted and talented data
- Dvslexia data
- Dual-credit and/or college prep course completion data
- · Pregnancy and related services data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Completion rates and/or graduation rates data
- Annual dropout rate data
- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- · School safety data

• Enrollment trends

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
 Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation

Goals

Goal 1: Academics: Develop critical thinkers that will transcend college and career goals by excelling in the 4 Talons.

Performance Objective 1: Red Oak High School will increase its English 1 and 2 passing rates to 80% approaches, 60% meets, and 20% masters by May 2025.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR, CBAs, Benchmarks

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Identify students who scored a zero on extended-constructed response (ECR), intervening with identified		Summative		
students throughout the year, and monitoring the progress of those students. Student/Teacher conferences about ECR.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Decrease in students with a 0 on ECR and an increase in STAAR approaches, meets, and masters.			1	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Associate Principal, ELAR Instructional Coach, Teachers				
ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments Problem Statements: Student Learning 2				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: English 1 and English 2 teachers will engage in daily collaborative planning to create a guaranteed and viable		Summative		
curriculum and common assessments to identify students who need additional targeted support. Adjust instruction through PLCs based on Instructional Focus Documents with action plans.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Meeting Agendas, Increase in STAAR Scores				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, Department Assistant Principal				
ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 2				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews					
Strategy 3: A comprehensive needs assessment will be conducted to identify educational strengths and weaknesses in		Summative				
student performance, school culture and climate, staff quality, curriculum and instruction, family and community involvement, school context and organization, and technology.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June		
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Campus Needs Assessment						
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal						
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views			
Strategy 4: Use of high quality instructional materials in all classrooms (faculty trained on HQIM with teams following	Formative Summ					
provided lesson plans)	Dec	Feb	Apr	June		
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs, Observations, STAAR Scores Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Instructional Coaches						
ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments						
Strategy 5 Details	Reviews					
Strategy 5: Writing across the curriculum using ACE. ECRs every six weeks in Eduphoria.		Formative		Summative		
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Student Writing Samples. Increase in ECR scores.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Associate Principal, Instructional Coach						
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	1	1		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: Students had a low achievement rate for meets and masters level on all subjects. **Root Cause**: Focus of academic success has been on passing the assessments, not advanced scores.

Performance Objective 2: Algebra I will increase approaches, meets, and masters by 10% from the previous school year.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR, CBAs, Benchmarks

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 1: Use internalization protocol for district HQIMs to deepen understanding of TEKS, instructional implications,		Summative			
and possible misconceptions. Adjust instruction through PLCs based on Instructional Focus Documents with action plans.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Lesson Plans, PLC agendas Stoff Degraphible for Maniferings Compus Administration, Instructional Cocch					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Instructional Coach					
ESF Levers:					
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments					
Problem Statements: Student Learning 2					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: Provide materials, including technology, and targeted intervention resources, to ensure the mastery of required	Formative Sum				
Algebra I TEKS for all students (IXL, ALEKS, Sirius)	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Use of Materials/Programs			1		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, Teachers					
ESF Levers:					
Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 3: A comprehensive needs assessment will be conducted to identify educational strengths and weaknesses in		Formative		Summative	
student performance, school culture and climate, staff quality, curriculum and instruction, family and community	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
involvement, school context and organization, and technology.	Dec	reb	Apr	June	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Comprehensive Needs Assessment					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	itinue			

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: Students had a low achievement rate for meets and masters level on all subjects. **Root Cause**: Focus of academic success has been on passing the assessments, not advanced scores.

Performance Objective 3: ROHS will aim for the Biology STAAR End-of-Course Assessment to score at or above 90% approaches, 60% meets, and 30% masters for the 2024-2025 school year.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR, CBAs, Benchmarks

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Biology teachers will engage in daily collaborative planning to create a guaranteed and viable curriculum and				Summative	
common assessments to identify students who need additional targeted support. Adjust instruction through PLCs based on Instructional Focus Documents with action plans.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: PLC Agendas, Increase in STAAR scores					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, Teachers					
Strategy 2 Details					
Strategy 2: Increase the number of short-constructed responses used in class, emphasizing the use of sentence stems.		Formative		Summative	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Lesson Plans, PLC agendas	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, Teachers			-		
ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	•	
Strategy 3: A comprehensive needs assessment will be conducted to identify educational strengths and weaknesses in		Formative		Summative	
student performance, school culture and climate, staff quality, curriculum and instruction, family and community involvement, school context and organization, and technology.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Comprehensive Needs Assessment					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal					

Strategy 4 Details				
Strategy 4: US History teachers will engage in daily collaborative planning to create a guaranteed and viable curriculum		Formative		Summative
and common assessments to identify students who need additional targeted support. Adjust instruction through PLCs based on Instructional Focus Documents with action plans.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: PLC Agendas, Increase in STAAR scores				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, Teachers				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 2				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: Students had a low achievement rate for meets and masters level on all subjects. **Root Cause**: Focus of academic success has been on passing the assessments, not advanced scores.

Performance Objective 4: By June 2025, US History STAAR End-of-Course Assessment at ROHS will increase the student mastery rate from 30% to 40%.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR, CBAs, Benchmarks

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: US History teachers will engage in daily collaborative planning to create a guaranteed and viable curriculum		Formative		Summative
and common assessments to identify students who need additional targeted support. Adjust instruction through PLCs based on Instructional Focus Documents with action plans.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: PLC Agendas, Increase in STAAR scores				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, Teachers				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 2				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: A comprehensive needs assessment will be conducted to identify educational strengths and weaknesses in		Formative		Summative
student performance, school culture and climate, staff quality, curriculum and instruction, family and community involvement, school context and organization, and technology.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Comprehensive Needs Assessment				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	tinue		

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: Students had a low achievement rate for meets and masters level on all subjects. **Root Cause**: Focus of academic success has been on passing the assessments, not advanced scores.

Performance Objective 5: Increase the number of students who are CCMR met in the ROHS Class of 2025 to 88% or higher by the end of the 2024-2025 school year.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: CCMR Percentage, Accountability report for 25-26 school year

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Implement Texas College Bridge in Math and English courses. Plan for specific days for seniors to complete		Formative		
TCB during the school day.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: TCB certification for students.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, CCMR Coordinator, TCB Teachers				
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Increase the total number of student who earn industry-based certificates. CTE department will prepare				
students to earn any and all possible industry certifications and provide other real-world experiences.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Students will earn industry based certificates.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of CTE				
Problem Statements: Demographics 2				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 3: Increase the total number of students to pass both the Reading and Math portions of TSIA, score a 22 on the		Formative		Summative
ACT in both Math and Reading, or a 480 on the reading portion of the SAT and a 530 on the Math portion of the SAT. Provide students opportunities to prepare and review for TSI assessments.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Increase performance on the TSIA, ACT, SAT				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, CCMR Coordinator, Testing Coordinator				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1				

Strategy 4 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 4: Increase the number of current ROHS teachers credentialed to teach Dual Credit Courses. This would allow		Formative		Summative	
more students to earn CCMR indicators for dual credit math and english.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: More staff credentialed to teach Dual Credit Courses			-		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Associate Principal					
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 5: Monitor all students using On Data Suite and other resources to track who has obtained CCMR met status.		Formative		Summative	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Increase in CCMR percentage	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, CCMR Coordinator, Executive Director of CCMR, Counselors			r		
Duchlam Statementer Domesmanhics 1. Student Learning 1					
Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Learning 1					
Strategy 6 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 6: Provide resources/guidance and promote scholarship opportunities for all students.		Formative		Summative	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Scholarship Awards	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: CCMR Coordinator, Counselors			-		
ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Strategy 7 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 7: To facilitate effective transitions for students from high school to post-secondary careers, all students will have		Formative		Summative	
the opportunity to participate in the Career and Technical Education program.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Higher CCMR Results			-		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Associate Principal, Director of CTE					
		1	1		
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	itinue			

Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: College, Career, and Military Readiness is 11% below the state average. (2021-2022) **Root Cause**: No direct monitoring of CCMR Systems need to be established for monitoring and tracking student CCMR data.

Problem Statement 2: Industry Based Certifications earned is 10% below the state average. (2021-2022) **Root Cause**: No direct monitoring of students completing courses aligned to IBCs. Students need to complete programs of study to become completers.

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: CCMR needs to increase to 88%. **Root Cause**: No direct focus on CCMR Systems need to be established for tracking and monitoring all students.

Performance Objective 6: ROHS will use both proactive and reactive strategies to emphasize the importance of regular student attendance and reduce absences, resulting in an ADA rate of 97% or greater by the end of the 2024-2025 school year.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: ADA reports, SABIPs, Truancy Filings

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Implement truancy prevention measures (TPM) for students experiencing attendance concerns.		Formative		
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: reducing loss of academic credit due to absences, improving achievement due to increased instructional time	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Principals				
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Exempt students from fall/spring exams based on attendance for the semester, in addition to academic		Formative		Summative
requirements. Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Attendance Rate	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Teachers				
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 7: Provide students the opportunity to learn and recover from failure.

Evaluation Data Sources: Increase in student success.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide accelerated instruction for students who were not successful on the STAAR Assessments - EOC		Formative		Summative	
Academy, Summer Tutoring, Talon Time (IXL), Saturday Camps.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Attendance, Increase in STAAR scores for retesters.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Instructional Coaches, Teachers					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	-	
Strategy 2: Credit Recovery opportunities provided during the school year and during summer school.		Formative			
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Completion of credit recovery courses.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors, Credit Recovery Teachers, Summer School Staff			1		
ESF Levers:					
Lever 2: Strategic Staffing					
Strategy 3 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 3: Continue Grade Repair Program at the end of each grading period.		Formative		Summative	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Improvement of student grades.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Associate Principal, Counselors			-		
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 4: Talon Time is provided during 5th period as an intervention, academic enrichment, and student enrichment time		Formative		Summative	
during the school day.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Utilization of Talon Time, IXL Usage, Decrease in Failures and Missing Assignments.	200	100			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Associate Principal, Teachers					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	tinue		-	

Performance Objective 8: Every student understands the expected standards of behavior on the campus and feels that their safety and well-being are a priority of the district.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Student Surveys

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Mentors' Care mentoring program will be continued on the campus to benefit at-risk students who are		Formative		Summative	
experiencing social and emotional barriers. Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Students will be equipped to reach their full potential with no emotional barriers.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Mentors' Care Director, Counselors					
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: Ensure consistency of state and campus enforcement of the Student Code of Conduct and Handbook.		Formative		Summative	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Discipline Reports - PEIMS Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	•	
Strategy 3: Intervention and Support Counselors are available for students.		Formative		Summative	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Students meeting with counselors. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
ESF Levers: Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture					

Strategy 4 Details					
Strategy 4: Implement class meetings at the beginning of the school year to provide campus expectations for all students.		Formative			
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Meetings	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration					
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue			

Performance Objective 8 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Students at ROHS do not uniformly adhere to district and campus expectations for behavior as evidenced by disciplinary referral rates. **Root Cause**: ROHS staff is not consistently enforcing and monitoring expectations of student behaviors.

Goal 2: Human Resources: Intentional progress to hire and retain high-quality staff to ensure best learning.

Performance Objective 1: Attract, engage, and retain top talent through competitive compensation packages.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Follow established procedures to ensure applicants are certified/highly qualified for available positions.		Formative		Summative	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: All hired staff are certified/highly qualified or seeking certification. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Associate Principals, Assistant Principals, Human Resources	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 2					
Strategy 2 Details		Re	views		
Strategy 2: Provide professional development opportunities for all teachers and staff.		Formative		Summative	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Provide training in all areas of compliance Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers:	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: ROHS has difficulty recruiting and maintaining highly qualified staff from year-to-year. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of highly qualified applicants applying for positions posted at ROHS.

Goal 2: Human Resources: Intentional progress to hire and retain high-quality staff to ensure best learning.

Performance Objective 2: Foster a supportive and inclusive work environment through differentiated strategies that promotes employee retention.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Nominate teacher of the month		Formative			
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Staff will select teachers of the month Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: Provide mentors for new and newly assigned teachers to the campus.		Formative			
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Mentor Assignments and Completion of Documentation	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Associate Principal					
TEA Priorities:					
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers:					
Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 2					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue			

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: ROHS has difficulty recruiting and maintaining highly qualified staff from year-to-year. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of highly qualified applicants applying for positions posted at ROHS.

Goal 2: Human Resources: Intentional progress to hire and retain high-quality staff to ensure best learning.

Performance Objective 3: Develop innovative and targeted recruitment practices that meet the diverse District needs of all employees.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Develop hiring committees by subject area consisting of 2 administrators, the team lead, and a separate teacher		Formative		Summative
representative.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Multiple perspectives on candidate quality Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Principal				
Stati Responsible for Montoring. Campus i inicipal				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing				
Level 1. Strong school Leadership and Framming, Level 2. Strategic Starring				
Strategy 2 Details				
Strategy 2: Appropriately certified teachers, counselors, and paraprofessionals will be recruited and retained by offering a		Formative		Summative
quality work environment, retention stipends, administrative support, and ample professional development in content knowledge and classroom practices through Region 10 and Campus/District Professional Development.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Higher Staff Retention Rates				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Principal and Campus Administration				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Attend Job Fairs and College Recruiting Days		Formative		Summative
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Job Fair and College Recruiting Day attendance.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing				
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 2				
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 2				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Work with alternative certification programs to identify qualified candidates for open positions.	Formative			Summative
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Communication with Alternative Certification Programs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration	Dec Feb Apr			June
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 2				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 2: ROHS has difficulty recruiting and maintaining highly qualified staff from year-to-year. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of highly qualified applicants applying for positions posted at ROHS.

Goal 3: Finance: Maintain fiscal stewardship and accountability to ensure financial stability and transparency.

Performance Objective 1: Ensure fiscal accountability and responsibility of resources to support the District's mission.

Strategy 1 Details Reviews			iews			
rategy 1: Monitor the usage of funds and anticipated yearly spending using prior record for analysis.			Formative S			Summative
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Skyward Fin Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Secretary Principal	ancial Records		Dec	Feb	Apr	June
% No Progress	100% Accomplished	Continue/Modify	X Discon	itinue		

Goal 3: Finance: Maintain fiscal stewardship and accountability to ensure financial stability and transparency.

Performance Objective 2: Ensure fiscal integrity by creating and maintaining a sufficient operating budget for the everyday operations of the District.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Principal runs weekly reports to monitor appropriate use of school funds.	Formative Si			Summative
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Weekly meetings with School Secretary to monitor budget. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal School Secretary		Dec Feb Apr		June
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	itinue	L	

Goal 3: Finance: Maintain fiscal stewardship and accountability to ensure financial stability and transparency.

Performance Objective 3: Educate stakeholders about the public education financial system and the funding of Red Oak ISD through regular and transparent communication.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Campus administration will work with all campus stakeholders during the decision-making process determining		Formative		
proper usage of all resources.			Apr	June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Discussions of fund usage. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 4: Growth, Facilities, Security: Prioritize and allocate resources to ensure safety and security and appropriate facilities.

Performance Objective 1: Provide facilities to accommodate current and future growth across the District.

Strate	Strategy 1 Details Reviews					
Strategy 1: Review and analyze student programs and facility needs			Formative			Summative
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Full utilization of available facilities			Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal						
% No Progress	Accomplished	Continue/Modify	X Discor	Intinue		

Goal 4: Growth, Facilities, Security: Prioritize and allocate resources to ensure safety and security and appropriate facilities.

Performance Objective 2: Ensure District facilities meet or exceed safety and security standards for physical requirements, technology systems, and implementation of procedures and protocols.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Conduct safety drills that include Hold, Secure, Lockdown, Evacuate, and Shelter.	Formative			Summative
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Participation in required security drills. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators All Campus Staff		Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			•
Strategy 2: Students and Staff will be trained over the Crisis Management Plan and Standard Response Protocols.		Formative		Summative
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Staff attendance to trainings and student training in classes.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration and Campus Staff				
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Security cameras to monitor the campus.	Formative			Summative
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Documentation of video usage.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Campus Police/Security				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: The campus will use integrated school safety software that enables them to screen visitors, track volunteers,		Formative		Summative
report on drills, respond to emergencies, and reunite families.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Daily Reports and Monitoring			_	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Campus Police/Security, Campus Secretary				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	•

Goal 4: Growth, Facilities, Security: Prioritize and allocate resources to ensure safety and security and appropriate facilities.

Performance Objective 3: Attempt to have facilities meet or exceed minimum standards for all programs to provide opportunities for student participation.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: We will ensure our facilities meet at least minimum standards for all programs in order for students to be	Formative			Summative
Successful. Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Janitors Maintenance and Upkeep Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Secretary Assistant Principal Teachers	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	'
Strategy 2: ROHS will utilize multiple strategies, including campus facilities use calendars and forms, to reserve/use	Formative			Summative
campus facilities for campus, district, and community events. Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Streamlining use of ROHS facilities and ensuring that all stakeholders have equitable access. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Principal and Principal Secretary	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	

Goal 5: Communications: Ensure District is connected to the community in partnerships to further student success while communicating transparently and effectively.

Performance Objective 1: Prioritize and enhance parent and teacher communications.

Evaluation Data Sources: Number of Communications

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Utilize campus web pages, skyward, Facebook, marquee, email, and community pages to invite and involve		Formative			
parents and the community to school events. Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Increased awareness and connectivity to community Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Journalism Teacher Problem Statements: Perceptions 1	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Clearly communicate activities - Parent/Teacher Conferences, Open House, Academic Showcase, Sporting	Formative So			Summative	
Events, Concerts, Programs, etc. Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Increased parent participation Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Athletic Coaches, Program Directors, Teachers Problem Statements: Perceptions 1	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Perceptions

Problem Statement 1: Common parent concerns revolved around lack of communication regarding events, important information, and campus and district initiatives. **Root Cause** : Utilization of platforms is not consistent. No designated staff to manage communication.

Goal 5: Communications: Ensure District is connected to the community in partnerships to further student success while communicating transparently and effectively.

Performance Objective 2: Provide opportunities to foster positive relationships between students, parents, schools and staff, and the community.

Evaluation Data Sources: Parents and school community participation in events such as homecoming, open house and other campus events.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide parent workshops including, but not limited to, College Admissions/Financial Aide, Dual Credit,	Formative			Summative
Course Selections and Grade Meetings. Dec Feb		b Apr	June	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Sign in Sheets and Increased Attendance Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administration, Counselors				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discontinue			