# Red Oak Independent School District Eastridge Elementary School 2023-2024 Campus Improvement Plan



# **Mission Statement**

# The Mission of Red Oak ISD:

# 4 Talons of the Hawk

**Exhibits Academic Readiness: 1% Better Daily & Love Tough** 

Seeks Opportunities and Challenges of Learning: Growth, Resilience, Integrity, Tenacity (G.R.I.T.)

Demonstrates Fair, Respectful, and Well-Rounded Characteristics: Respect, Encourage, Appreciate, Communicate, Honor (R.E.A.C.H.)

**Leaves a Legacy Through Service: "We Before Me"** 

# Vision

The Vision of Red Oak ISD:

"Realizing Our Individual Students' Dreams"

# **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	6
School Processes & Programs	8
Perceptions	9
Priority Problem Statements	10
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	11
Goals	13
Goal 1: Academics: Develop critical thinkers that will transcend college and career goals by excelling in the 4 Talons.	13
Goal 2: Human Resources: Intentional progress to hire and retain high-quality staff to ensure best learning.	21
Goal 3: Finance: Maintain fiscal stewardship and accountability to ensure financial stability and transparency.	24
Goal 4: Growth, Facilities, Security: Prioritize and allocate resources to ensure safety and security and appropriate facilities.	27
Goal 5: Communications: Ensure District is connected to the community in partnerships to further student success while communicating transparently and effectively.	30
Title I Personnel	32
Campus Funding Summary	33

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

# **Demographics**

# **Demographics Summary**

Eastridge Elementary currently serves students from Pre -Kindergarten to 5th Grade. Eastridge Elementary ended the 2022-2022 school year with approximately 556 students enrolled. Our current classrooms include: 4 Kindergarten, 4 first grade, 4 second grade, 5 third grade, 4 fourth grade and 5 fifth grade classrooms. In addition, we have one self contained classroom - Total Language Communication (TLC), as well as, a resource math and resource reading classroom. Our students identified are provided services or classes from our specialists in dyslexia therapy, GT & speech. Eastridge finds great value in our two instructional coaches - one focused on Math and the other on RLA. They help support our teachers and their instruction in the classroom, as well as, being knowledgeable about the content material and resources being used to instruct our students.

Eastridge Elementary School is comprised of the following Ethnic Distribution (Summer dashboard - file uploaded from 7/13/2023)

African American: 21.58%

Hispanic: 46.04%

White: 27.88%

American Indian: 0%

Asian: 0 %

Two or More Races: 4.50%

Economically Disadvantaged: 64.03%

English Learners: 9.53%

At-Risk: 38.43% (Fall Dashboard of information)

## **Teachers Years of Experience**

33% of the teaching staff has 0-5 years of experience

24% of the teaching staff has 6-10 years of experience

23% of the teaching staff has 11-20 years of experience

13.1% of the teaching staff has over 20 years of experience

# **Demographics Strengths**

Eastridge Elementary has many strengths. Some of the most notable demographic strengths include:

- Red Oak ISD has a reputation for being family-oriented, and for providing high-quality educational opportunities for its students.
- Campus attendance incentives implemented daily, such as the "ATTENDANCE" goal with rewards for each classroom.
- Students and parents speak very highly of our campus staff, programs, and opportunities offered.

# **Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** Our campus has not met the 97% attendance rate goal in the last few years. **Root Cause:** Eastridge has a rate of 95%; however, have noticed trends that lower grade levels (Prek-1) students have a lower rate of attendance than other grades. 3rd six weeks attendance rate declines due to sickness and holiday travel.

# **Student Learning**

# **Student Learning Summary**

## 22-23 STAAR Data:

Student Count	Average Score	Did Not Meet	Approaches	Meets	Masters
364390	1536	22%	31%	26%	21%
57158	1548	21%	29%	26%	25%
444	1518	23%	32%	30%	14%
98	1511	21%	37%	32%	10%
		Grade 5 ELA			
Student Count	Average Score	Did Not Meet	Approaches	Meets	Masters
372173	1602	19%	25%	28%	28%
58454	1613	18%	23%	27%	32%
467	1602	17%	26%	28%	28%
88	1598	22%	24%	24%	31%
		Grade 5 Science			
Student Count	Average Score	Did Not Meet	Approaches	Meets	Masters
378331	3754	36%	30%	19%	15%
60946	3774	35%	29%	20%	16%
468	3786	31%	31%	25%	13%
88	3807	27%	34%	24%	15%
	364390 57158 444 98  Student Count 372173 58454 467 88  Student Count 378331 60946 468	364390 1536 57158 1548 444 1518 98 1511  Student Count Average Score 372173 1602 58454 1613 467 1602 88 1598  Student Count Average Score 378331 3754 60946 3774 468 3786	364390 1536 22% 57158 1548 21% 444 1518 23% 98 1511 21%  Transport	Student Count         Average Score         Did Not Meet         Approaches           364390         1536         22%         31%           57158         1548         21%         29%           444         1518         23%         32%           98         1511         21%         37%           Grade 5 ELA           Student Count         Average Score         Did Not Meet         Approaches           372173         1602         19%         25%           58454         1613         18%         23%           467         1602         17%         26%           88         1598         22%         24%           Grade 5 Science           Student Count         Average Score         Did Not Meet         Approaches           378331         3754         36%         30%           60946         3774         35%         29%           468         3786         31%         31%	Student Count         Average Score         Did Not Meet         Approaches         Meets           364390         1536         22%         31%         26%           57158         1548         21%         29%         26%           444         1518         23%         32%         30%           98         1511         21%         37%         32%           Grade 5 ELA           Student Count         Average Score         Did Not Meet         Approaches         Meets           372173         1602         19%         25%         28%           58454         1613         18%         23%         27%           467         1602         17%         26%         28%           88         1598         22%         24%         24%           Grade 5 Science           Student Count         Average Score         Did Not Meet         Approaches         Meets           378331         3754         36%         30%         19%           60946         3774         35%         29%         20%           468         3786         31%         31%         25%

# Math (2-5)

DNM- 31%

App. - 37%

Meets- 23%

Master- 8%

**RLA (2-5)** 

DNM- 29%

App - 29%

Meets - 20 %

Masters - 18%

# TPRI Data (Kinder-2nd)

Grade 2: 51% master (lowest in the district)

Grade 1 - 74% master (highest in district)

Kinder - 72% master (2nd highest in district)

# **Student Learning Strengths**

- \* Above the state average in 5th Grade Science
- \* Aligned with the state average in RLA 3rd & RLA 5th
- \* Aligned with the state average in Math 3rd & Math 5th

# **Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** Reading STAAR scores in the area of the Extended Constructed Response is below the state and region for grades 3 & 4 Root Cause: Creating an awareness with staff on how the ECR are scored and determine our writing focus with our students based on the provided rubric for the ECR and SCR.

**Problem Statement 2:** Reading difficulties and below reading levels are observed in all grade levels which directly impacts reading and math success. **Root Cause:** Previous years situations has provided instructional opportunity gaps for us to work on to develop our students levels.

**Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized):** Foundational math fact fluency is impacting students ability to complete computation efficiently. **Root Cause:** Students are lacking the recall of basic facts for all operations.

# **School Processes & Programs**

#### **School Processes & Programs Summary**

Eastridge Elementary uses multiple resources to help provide focus for curriculum, instruction, and assessment. The TEKS Resource System's scope and sequence and curriculum based assessments were utilized as a guide to providing and promoting critical thinking and problem solving skills, communication, creativity, and collaboration. Each week, grade level PLCs meet for intensive data analysis, analyzing TEKs coming up in the next unit and planning out a formative assessment to check for student understanding.

For each content area, assessment plays a vital role in driving instruction and making decisions. Eastridge Elementary is committed to providing opportunities for students to interact collaboratively, with hands-on activities, performance based assessments, open-ended questions and responses, and promoting the 21st Century Skills to ensure mastery. Students are encouraged and allowed to demonstrate their learning through authentic assessments, including project-based learning, student-created products, and presentations (group and individual). We utilize TPRI, GRA, Fountas and Pinnell Guided Reading, STEM Scopes, TEKS Resource System, NWEA MAP math test for students in K-5, NWEA MAP reading test for grades 3-5, and campus/district benchmarks and curriculum based assessments for formal assessments 2nd -5th grade. In addition to these assessments, we also utilize STAAR released items and Fountas and Pinnell LLI Resources for grades 3 through 5. All special courses and programs such as special education, dyslexia, fine arts, and physical education promote and provide support for the standard of assessment utilized by our campus and district. Our EB students are also assessed formally each year by the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS). All assessment data, whether formal or informal, is disaggregated, analyzed, and fine-tuned to drive instructional needs.

Grade level PLCs are held weekly with campus administrators and both instructional coaches (Math focus & Reading Focus). The PLCs focus is analyzing and breaking down a Student Expectation and creating a formative assessment, data review, and strategic planning. Grade levels have a daily common planning and focused intervention time. Collaborative data meetings occur within a week after a formal assessment (CBA) has been given.

Student progress is monitored through informal and formal assessments, along with focused tutorials and interventions. The RtI committee meets each six weeks & routinely to provide support and academic strategies for teachers to utilize with identified students.

All staff, professional and para-professional, have many opportunities throughout the school year and summer, to attend professional development sessions, on and off campus, to enhance the teaching and learning environment for our students, and addressing the needs of our campus as identified in the plan.

## **School Processes & Programs Strengths**

- Staff will also utilize common formative assessments (CBA) and teacher created formative assessments to track student growth and progress.
- Each student has an individualized data folder to track their personal data and growth after each CBA and Reading Level check. PreK, Kindergarten and 1st grade have additional components they track such as letters, sounds, number recognition, sight words and various other foundational skills.
- Progress is tracked for students by using Eduphoria and data folders. The students set goals and track their progress from assessment to assessment in data folders.
- Instructional Coaches with a designed focus of reading and math will be utilized to provide resources and guidance to strengthen learning in the classroom.

# **Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs**

**Problem Statement 1:** Utilizing our PLC process to for purposeful, intentional planning time where the focus is student growth & alignment with TRS. **Root Cause:** Student achievement data shows the need for deeper instruction to take place for students of all academic levels.

**Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized):** Students having foundational learning gaps - we must ensure intentional intervention groups to help fill the gaps and provide enrichment. **Root Cause:** Students are showing significant gaps and need intervention based on their individual needs.

# **Perceptions**

## **Perceptions Summary**

Eastridge Elementary is a student-centered learning family. Students and staff are focused on teamwork, working together to grow as lifelong learners and focusing on individual student success. Student activities, staff leadership roles and the overall safety of the campus is driven by the ROISD 4 talons.

Each 6 weeks, students and staff are awarded recognition for displaying the characteristics of the 4 Talons.

Each week, we have a weekly drawing of Talon Cards for student exemplifying one of the 4 Talons through the week - 10 students are drawn each week to spin the prize wheel and be recognized for their exceptional behavior and choices.

To recognize our hard working teachers - teachers are nominated each week for the "Helping Hands" award. Each Friday one teacher is recognized on our daily announcements and obtains a special prize.

#### Safe and Orderly Schools Summary

- Campus participated in regular drills, Safety Week, and uses RAPTOR digital kiosk to screen all persons entering the building
- Eastridge Elementary has a full-time, armed Red Oak ISD PD police officer on campus for safety and support daily.
- Eastridge Elementary maintains a serious and progressive attitude towards all safety drills and precautions.

# **Perceptions Strengths**

At Eastridge Elementary, we are proud to offer a variety of opportunities to support our staff and students to help them grow, not only academically, but socially and emotionally as well. We are also proud of our ongoing partnership with parents and community members. Our goal is to model the four talons in every area of our school year and through a variety of opportunities.

# **Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs**

**Problem Statement 1:** Eastridge Elementary would like to bridge the connection between new families and continue to find innovative ways to increase parental and community involvement at the campus. **Root Cause:** The several years during Covid with less activities has made the progress of implementing more parent involvement a slow process.

**Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized):** Communication is key and vital for partnership- it is our job to keep an open line of communication between home and school. **Root Cause:** Determining the best mode of communication with each family. Therefore, we send it in a variety of modes.

# **Priority Problem Statements**

**Problem Statement 1**: Our campus has not met the 97% attendance rate goal in the last few years.

**Root Cause 1**: Eastridge has a rate of 95%; however, have noticed trends that lower grade levels (Prek-1) students have a lower rate of attendance than other grades. 3rd six weeks attendance rate declines due to sickness and holiday travel.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: Reading STAAR scores in the area of the Extended Constructed Response is below the state and region for grades 3 & 4

Root Cause 2: Creating an awareness with staff on how the ECR are scored and determine our writing focus with our students based on the provided rubric for the ECR and SCR.

**Problem Statement 2 Areas:** Student Learning

**Problem Statement 3**: Foundational math fact fluency is impacting students ability to complete computation efficiently.

**Root** Cause 3: Students are lacking the recall of basic facts for all operations.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

**Problem Statement 4**: Students having foundational learning gaps - we must ensure intentional intervention groups to help fill the gaps and provide enrichment.

**Root Cause 4**: Students are showing significant gaps and need intervention based on their individual needs.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: School Processes & Programs

**Problem Statement 5**: Communication is key and vital for partnership- it is our job to keep an open line of communication between home and school.

**Root Cause 5**: Determining the best mode of communication with each family. Therefore, we send it in a variety of modes.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Perceptions

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation**

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

## **Improvement Planning Data**

- · District goals
- State and federal planning requirements

#### **Accountability Data**

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations

#### **Student Data: Assessments**

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Running Records results
- Observation Survey results
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

# **Student Data: Student Groups**

- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- · Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

#### **Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators**

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- · School safety data

Enrollment trends

# **Employee Data**

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact

# Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

## **Support Systems and Other Data**

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Capacity and resources data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices
- Action research results
- · Other additional data

# Goals

Goal 1: Academics: Develop critical thinkers that will transcend college and career goals by excelling in the 4 Talons.

Performance Objective 1: Develop students who are college, career, and military ready that possess the academic skills for post-secondary success.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Promote College & Career Ready opportunities for our students		Formative		Summative
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Monthly college & career ready Thursday	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Live announcements including a teacher sharing their college story with students Career Fair  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Counselor	100%	100%	100%	
TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 1: Academics: Develop critical thinkers that will transcend college and career goals by excelling in the 4 Talons.

**Performance Objective 2:** Equip students to be well-rounded with interpersonal skills to manage day-to-day challenges.

Summative Evaluation: Met Objective

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: The counselor gives lessons on character throughout the year. Lessons include: Healthy Choices and Growth Mindset, Drug Awareness, Bullying, Gratitude, Personal Safety, Respect, Responsibility, Emotions Trustworthy/Integrity/ Honesty, and Resilience  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Lesson Plans Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Counselor  Title I: 2.6	Dec 100%	Feb 100%	Apr 100%	Summative June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	<u> </u>
Strategy 2: Implement Care Solace		Formative		Summative
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Referrals to Care Solace	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor Principal  Title I: 2.6, 4.1	100%	100%	100%	
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 1: Academics: Develop critical thinkers that will transcend college and career goals by excelling in the 4 Talons.

**Performance Objective 3:** Engage students in meaningful learning that includes a variety of instructional strategies.

Evaluation Data Sources: Strive report of TTESS walkthroughs, Meeting agendas, Strive Report

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Teams will meet in Professional Learning Communities weekly for appropriate staff to understand the use of		Formative		Summative
teaching methodologies that: identify, interpret, analyze, foster and encourage different types of thinking in students, identify learning needs and utilize understanding of implementing practice for the new item types. Texas Instructional	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Leadership process - Lesson Alignment/ Formative Assessment & Data Driven Instruction will be our 23-24 PLC focus.  Teacher will attend Rockin' Review for Math & Reading to help support STAAR review plan 2nd semester	50%	75%	85%	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Agendas				
TIL Weekly Tracking document				
Lesson Plans				
Attending Rockin' Review				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Instructional Coaches				
Campus teachers				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6				

Strategy 2: Campus staff will be trained throughout the year in using data to drive instructional decisions. Staff will participate in focused Professional Learning Communities (PLCs). Areas of focus for 2023-2024 school year will be: utilizing Lesson Alignment / Formative Assessment process for unpacking TEKS; planning for instruction and assessment with the new item types, Literacy Stations, GRA TPRI, LLI, Guided Math, Eduphoria Aware, Benchmarks, Curriculum Based Assessments, TELPAS, STAAR 2023 Data, iReady Assessment Math & Reading and utilizing the Instructional
participate in focused Professional Learning Communities (PLCs). Areas of focus for 2023-2024 school year will be: utilizing Lesson Alignment / Formative Assessment process for unpacking TEKS; planning for instruction and assessment with the new item types, Literacy Stations, GRA TPRI, LLI, Guided Math, Eduphoria Aware, Benchmarks, Curriculum Based Assessments, TELPAS, STAAR 2023 Data, iReady Assessment Math & Reading and utilizing the Instructional
utilizing Lesson Alignment / Formative Assessment process for unpacking TEKS; planning for instruction and assessment with the new item types, Literacy Stations, GRA TPRI, LLI, Guided Math, Eduphoria Aware, Benchmarks, Curriculum Based Assessments, TELPAS, STAAR 2023 Data, iReady Assessment Math & Reading and utilizing the Instructional
with the new item types, Literacy Stations, GRA TPRI, LLI, Guided Math, Eduphoria Aware, Benchmarks, Curriculum Based Assessments, TELPAS, STAAR 2023 Data, iReady Assessment Math & Reading and utilizing the Instructional
Coaches (Reading & Math).
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Pre-Assessments Training agendas/Sign in Sheets Staff Development Reports Running Records STAAR Data TELPAS Data DRA data TPRI data Student Data folders; iReady reports from pre and post test
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Coach
Librarian
Team Leads
Classroom Teachers
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  Funding Sources: Literacy library resources - 199 PIC 11 Reg Ed - \$1,000, iReady Assessment Math and Reading - 211 Title I - \$13,000
Strategy 3 Details Reviews
Strategy 3: The Gifted and Talented program is a pull out program serving all students who meet the district criteria for Formative Summative
GT. GT teachers will work with classroom teachers to increase the identification of gifted students. Through year GT testing will be included this year to help identify more frequently.  Dec Feb Apr June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Number of GT students identified will increase.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: GT Teacher
Title I:
2.4, 2.5
Funding Sources: supplies - 199 PIC 21 GT - \$1,500

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Utilize a reading interventionist to work with students in 1st - 5th grade to provide targeted intervention fluidly		Formative		Summative
throughout the year.  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: CBA Data Benchmark Data STAAR Data Reading Level Data Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators	Dec 100%	Feb	Apr 100%	June
Title I: 2.6			•	
Strategy 5 Details  Strategy 5: Staff development on this campus in Math includes the following:		Rev Formative	iews	Summative
Guided Math	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Use of manipulatives Supporting struggling learners Horizontal team meetings across the district and/or area Vertical team meetings Math Fact Fluency- Reflex Interpreting MAP data iReady Digital Assessment tool Lead4ward  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks Interims STAAR Testing TTESS Staff Development Reports Reflex Math PLC- TIL Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Coach Math Vertical Alignment Team	70%	70%	75%	June
Curriculum and Instruction Department  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  Funding Sources: - 199 PIC 11 Reg Ed - \$600				

Strategy 6: Minimum 40% science experiences, hands-on interactions for students. Horizontal team planning across the campus and district. CAST conference.  Fidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks STAAR Testing Staff Development Reports TTAP Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Coach Science Vertical Alignment Team  Strategy 7: Staff development on this campus in Reading Language Arts (RLA) includes the following: Strategys for ELL Learners Supporting struggling learners Collaborate/Plan with Instructional Coach and reading interventionist. Lead-4ward Guided Reading Literacy Stations GRA TPRI Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks	June June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks STAAR Testing Staff Development Reports TTAP Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Coach Science Vertical Alignment Team  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  Strategy 7 Details  Strategy 7: Staff development on this campus in Reading Language Arts (RLA) includes the following: Strategies for ELL Learners Supporting struggling learners Collaborate/Plan with Instructional Coach and reading interventionist. Lead-dward Guided Reading Literacy Stations GRA TPRI  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks	June
Benchmarks STAAR Testing Staff Development Reports TTAP Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Coach Science Vertical Alignment Team  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  Strategy 7 Details  Strategy 7: Staff development on this campus in Reading Language Arts (RLA) includes the following:  Strategies for ELL Learners Supporting struggling learners Collaborate/Plan with Instructional Coach and reading interventionist. Lead4ward Guided Reading Literacy Stations GRA TPRI  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks	
STAAR Testing Staff Development Reports TTAP  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Coach Science Vertical Alignment Team  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  Strategy 7 Details  Strategy 7: Staff development on this campus in Reading Language Arts (RLA) includes the following: Strategies for ELL Learners Supporting struggling learners Collaborate/Plan with Instructional Coach and reading interventionist. Lead-dward Guided Reading Literacy Stations GRA TPRI  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Coach Science Vertical Alignment Team  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  Strategy 7 Details  Strategy 7: Staff development on this campus in Reading Language Arts (RLA) includes the following: Strategies for ELL Learners Supporting struggling learners Collaborate/Plan with Instructional Coach and reading interventionist. Lead4ward Guided Reading Literacy Stations GRA TPRI  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Coach Science Vertical Alignment Team  Title 1: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  Strategy 7 Details  Strategy 7: Staff development on this campus in Reading Language Arts (RLA) includes the following:  Strategies for ELL Learners Supporting struggling learners Collaborate/Plan with Instructional Coach and reading interventionist. Lead4ward Guided Reading Literacy Stations GRA TPRI  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks	
Instructional Coach Science Vertical Alignment Team  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  Strategy 7 Details  Strategy 7: Staff development on this campus in Reading Language Arts (RLA) includes the following: Strategies for ELL Learners Supporting struggling learners Collaborate/Plan with Instructional Coach and reading interventionist. Lead4ward Guided Reading Literacy Stations GRA TPRI  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks	
Strategy 7 Details  Strategy 7 Details  Strategy 7 Details  Strategy 7: Staff development on this campus in Reading Language Arts (RLA) includes the following:  Strategies for ELL Learners Supporting struggling learners Collaborate/Plan with Instructional Coach and reading interventionist.  Lead4ward Guided Reading Literacy Stations GRA TPRI  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks	
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  Strategy 7 Details  Strategy 7: Staff development on this campus in Reading Language Arts (RLA) includes the following:  Strategies for ELL Learners Supporting struggling learners  Collaborate/Plan with Instructional Coach and reading interventionist.  Lead4ward Guided Reading Literacy Stations GRA TPRI  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks  Reviews  Formative  Dec Feb Apr  75%  75%	
2.4, 2.5, 2.6  Strategy 7: Staff development on this campus in Reading Language Arts (RLA) includes the following:  Strategies for ELL Learners Supporting struggling learners Collaborate/Plan with Instructional Coach and reading interventionist. Lead4ward Guided Reading Literacy Stations GRA TPRI  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks  Reviews  Formative  Toge Feb Apr  75%  75%  75%	
2.4, 2.5, 2.6  Strategy 7: Staff development on this campus in Reading Language Arts (RLA) includes the following:  Strategies for ELL Learners Supporting struggling learners Collaborate/Plan with Instructional Coach and reading interventionist. Lead4ward Guided Reading Literacy Stations GRA TPRI  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks  Reviews  Reviews  Formative  70% 75% 75% 75% 75%	<u> </u>
Strategy 7: Staff development on this campus in Reading Language Arts (RLA) includes the following:  Strategies for ELL Learners Supporting struggling learners Collaborate/Plan with Instructional Coach and reading interventionist.  Lead4ward Guided Reading Literacy Stations GRA TPRI  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments	
Strategy 7: Staff development on this campus in Reading Language Arts (RLA) includes the following:  Strategies for ELL Learners Supporting struggling learners Collaborate/Plan with Instructional Coach and reading interventionist.  Lead4ward Guided Reading Literacy Stations GRA TPRI  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments	
Strategies for ELL Learners Supporting struggling learners Collaborate/Plan with Instructional Coach and reading interventionist. Lead4ward Guided Reading Literacy Stations GRA TPRI  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks  Dec Feb Apr  70% 75% 75% 75%	
Supporting struggling learners Collaborate/Plan with Instructional Coach and reading interventionist. Lead4ward Guided Reading Literacy Stations GRA TPRI  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks	Summative
Supporting struggling learners  Collaborate/Plan with Instructional Coach and reading interventionist.  Lead4ward Guided Reading Literacy Stations GRA TPRI  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks	June
Lead4ward Guided Reading Literacy Stations GRA TPRI  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks	
Guided Reading Literacy Stations GRA TPRI  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks	
Literacy Stations GRA TPRI Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks	1
GRA TPRI  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks	
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Curriculum Based Assessments Benchmarks	
Benchmarks	
STAAR Testing	
Staff Development Report	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators	
Instructional Coach	
Reading Vertical Alignment Team	
Literacy Specialist	
Title I:	
2.4, 2.5, 2.6	
Funding Sources: Literacy station materials - 199 PIC 11 Reg Ed - \$2,000	
No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue	

Goal 1: Academics: Develop critical thinkers that will transcend college and career goals by excelling in the 4 Talons.

Performance Objective 4: Equip students with the knowledge and skills for high levels of academic achievement and success in their post-secondary life.

# **HB3** Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness results, Advanced Placement results

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Staff will develop plans for enrichment to increase masters level scores & maintain meets level in grades 3-5 to		Formative		Summative
address the student achievement domain, school progress domain, and the closing the gaps domain. This will include student tracking their own data using individual digital student trackers for their progress and celebrating success / growth	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
through LEVEL UP cart.				
<b>Evidence that Demonstrates Success:</b> Increased masters & meets level scores on curriculum based assessments and STAAR.	50%	75%	85%	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
Instructional Coaches				
GT Teacher				
Campus Administrators				
<b>Funding Sources:</b> iReady - 211 Title I - \$12,000, Tutoring and Enrichment supplies - 211 Title I - \$7,000, Incentive LEVEL UP Cart - 211 Title I - \$500				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: The percent of 3rd grade students that score MEETS grade level or above on STAAR Reading will increase		Formative		Summative
from 36% to 50% by June 2024. If obtained will maintain the 50% meets level each year. Staff will have PLCs using data from district created assessments to create plans for intervention and enrichment during Talon Time.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: CBA Data Benchmark Data	50%	55%	70%	
STAAR Data				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers				
Campus Administrators				
Instructional Coach				
Title I:				
2.4				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: The percent of 3rd grade students that score MEETS grade level or above on STAAR Math will increase from		Formative		Summative
27% to 50% by June 2025. Staff will have PLCs using data from district created assessments to create plans for intervention and enrichment during Talon Time.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: CBA Data Benchmark Data STAAR Data	40%	55%	70%	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Classroom Teachers Campus Administrators Instructional Coach				
<b>Title I:</b> 2.6				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 4: Provide opportunities for students to participate in extracurricular activities such as: Student Council, Safety		Formative		Summative
Patrol, Broadcasting, Yearbook club, UIL, ASL, and STEAM club.  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Participation in programs	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Counselor Campus Professional Staff	80%	100%	100%	
Title I: 2.5				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 5: Participate in the Texas Performance Standards Projects or like curriculum for identified Gifted and Talented		Formative		Summative
students in K-5  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Lesson Plans	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: GT Teachers	N/A	N/A	75%	
Title I: 2.5, 2.6				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	tinue	I	1

Goal 2: Human Resources: Intentional progress to hire and retain high-quality staff to ensure best learning.

Performance Objective 1: Attract, engage, and retain top talent through competitive compensation packages.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Maintain a welcoming campus environment that staff take pride in.		Formative		Summative
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Customer Service	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Appreciation opportunities Culture and Climate Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators	100%	100%	100%	
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 2: Human Resources: Intentional progress to hire and retain high-quality staff to ensure best learning.

Performance Objective 2: Foster a supportive and inclusive work environment through differentiated strategies that promotes employee retention.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 1: Provide a campus mentor/ support for first and second year teachers (new to Red Oak Elementary and new to		Formative		Summative
Red Oak ISD)	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Meeting Notes/Agenda Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Coaches Mentor Teachers Coordinator of Mentors for ROISD	50%	75%	85%	
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 2: Human Resources: Intentional progress to hire and retain high-quality staff to ensure best learning.

Performance Objective 3: Develop innovative and targeted recruitment practices that meet the diverse District needs of all employees.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Promote scholarships to paraprofessional/support staff to complete a degree in education and become certified.  Formative				Summative
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Number of staff members attending college/completing degree/certification.  Dec Feb Apr			June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Human Resources Department Campus Administrators	50%	65%	75%	
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 3: Finance: Maintain fiscal stewardship and accountability to ensure financial stability and transparency.

**Performance Objective 1:** Ensure fiscal accountability and responsibility of resources to support the District's mission.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Utilize campus ESSER III and Title I funds to support tutoring for grades K-5 and other specified resources related to the area of math and reading.  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Focus on Reading, Math, and Science Student Sign-up Sheets Tutorial Letters Goal Setting Parent informational letters Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructional Coaches Teachers  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Dec 50%	Formative Feb	Apr 100%	Summative June
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 3: Finance: Maintain fiscal stewardship and accountability to ensure financial stability and transparency.

Performance Objective 2: Ensure fiscal integrity by creating and maintaining a sufficient operating budget for the everyday operations of the District.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Monitor the usage of funds and anticipated yearly spending using prior record for analysis.		Formative		Summative
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Skyward Financial Records	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Secretary Principal	40% 65% 75%			
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 3: Finance: Maintain fiscal stewardship and accountability to ensure financial stability and transparency.

**Performance Objective 3:** Educate stakeholders about the public education financial system and the funding of Red Oak ISD through regular and transparent communication.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Monitor student attendance to targeted campus attendance rate. Assemble an Attendance committee that meets	Formative			Summative
periodically to review weekly attendance data and students in danger of violating the 10% rule.		Feb	Apr	June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Attendance meetings, contracts, and plans with parents Attendance phone calls Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor Campus Adminsitrators Registrar Teachers	Dec         Feb         Apr           30%         65%         75%		75%	
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 4: Growth, Facilities, Security: Prioritize and allocate resources to ensure safety and security and appropriate facilities.

**Performance Objective 1:** Provide facilities to accommodate current and future growth across the District.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Additional classroom space to accommodate growth at Eastridge.		Formative		Summative
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Portable buildings	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Assistant Superintendent of District Operations	55%			
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	X Discontinue		

Goal 4: Growth, Facilities, Security: Prioritize and allocate resources to ensure safety and security and appropriate facilities.

**Performance Objective 2:** Ensure District facilities meet or exceed safety and security standards for physical requirements, technology systems, and implementation of procedures and protocols.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: The campus will conduct regular drills practicing Hold, Shelter, Lockout, Lockdown and Evacuate. Each six	Formative Sun			Summative
weeks all drills will be conducted.  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Documentation of drills  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators  Campus Police officer  Title I:  2.6		Feb	Apr 100%	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Campus Safety training will take place during preservice week and emergency teams will be created in the		Formative		Summative
Campus Crisis Handbook.  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Documentation of training Crisis Handbook	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Principal Campus Police Officer  Title I: 2.6	100%	100%	100%	
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	

Goal 4: Growth, Facilities, Security: Prioritize and allocate resources to ensure safety and security and appropriate facilities.

Performance Objective 3: Attempt to have facilities meet or exceed minimum standards for all programs to provide opportunities for student participation.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: We will ensure our facilities meet at least minimum standards for all programs in order for students to be		Formative		Summative
successful.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Janitors Maintenance and Upkeep Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Secretary Assistant Principal Teachers	100%	100%	100%	
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

**Goal 5:** Communications: Ensure District is connected to the community in partnerships to further student success while communicating transparently and effectively.

**Performance Objective 1:** Prioritize and enhance parent and teacher communications.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Participate in PTA_sponsored activities, Red Oak Education Foundation programs, and community activities.	Formative S			Summative
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Campus Attendance Participation sign in sheets	Dec Feb		Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal	25%	50%	75%	
Title I:				
4.1, 4.2				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide current data on events and school information on our website, weekly newsletters, and social media		Formative		Summative
outlets.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Number of posts on website and social media outlets.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators  Title I: 4.1, 4.2	100%	100%	100%	
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

**Goal 5:** Communications: Ensure District is connected to the community in partnerships to further student success while communicating transparently and effectively.

**Performance Objective 2:** Provide opportunities to foster positive relationships between students, parents, schools and staff, and the community.

Evaluation Data Sources: Parent/community surveys, number of events held, external communication methods

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Opportunities for Parents, Teachers, and Students to work together to promote a cohesive relationship: Title 1	Dec Feb Apr  75% 85% 85%			Summative
Parent Involvement Night Parent Night to promote literacy, math, science, and technology  Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Attendance Sign In sheets Title I parent survey  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators  Title I:  2.6, 4.1, 4.2				June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide opportunities for volunteer support and leadership opportunities- including but not limited to Book		Formative		Summative
Fairs, making copies, Field Trip chaperones, Christmas & EOY parties, etc.)	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Evidence that Demonstrates Success: Number of participants Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal All Professional Staff PTA board	30%	50%	70%	
Title I: 4.1, 4.2				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

# **Title I Personnel**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Ashley Graves	Literacy Specialist	Title I	.5
Jennifer Rucks	Instructional Coach	Title I	.5
Kim Pevehouse	Instructional Coach	Title I	.4

# **Campus Funding Summary**

211 Title I					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	3	2	iReady Assessment Math and Reading		\$13,000.00
1	4	1	Tutoring and Enrichment supplies		\$7,000.00
1	4	1	iReady		\$12,000.00
1	4	1	Incentive LEVEL UP Cart		\$500.00
		•		Sub-Total	\$32,500.00
			Bud	geted Fund Source Amount	\$42,000.00
				+/- Difference	\$9,500.00
Grand Total Budgeted					\$42,000.00
				Grand Total Spent	\$32,500.00
				+/- Difference	\$9,500.00